Connecting ESSA to School Libraries

Friday, October 14, 2016

Washington Library Media Association

(WLMA)
This program is made possible through the matching funds provided by Follett School Solutions. Follett advocates for, and understands, the expertise and dynamic role school librarians play in making a difference in their district’s success.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Session</th>
<th>Presenter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4:30 pm</td>
<td>Welcome Remarks</td>
<td>Liz Dodds, Teacher Librarian, California School Library President, 2014-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Craig Seasholes, AASL Board of Directors, Region 8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 4:30 – 5:00 pm | ESSA Overview  
- Federal and State Timeline  
- Effective School Library Program Definition  
- Review of titles that include School Librarians  
- Connection of titles to school library role | Emily Sheketoff, Executive Director of Washington Office of American Library Association  
Liz Dodd |
| 5:00-5:15 pm | Review of WA DOE  
- Draft timeline  
- Review tours | Carolyn Logue, WLMA Lobbyist  
Craig Seasholes |
| 5:15 -5:30 pm | • Review of Key Messages  
• Identifying Stakeholders  
• Coalition Development Plan | Liz Dodds |
| 5:30-5:40 pm | Report/Share Out  | Liz Dodds |
| 5:40 -5:55 pm | • Connecting message to stakeholders  
• Report/Share Out | Liz Dodds |
| 5:55- 6:10 pm | • Elevator Speech Development  
• Connecting ESSA to Practice | Liz Dodds |
| 6:10-6:20 pm | Report/Share Out  | Liz Dodds |
| 6:20 pm    | Closing Remarks/Questions                                               | Liz Dodds |
Welcome

Liz Dodds, Teacher Librarian
California School Library
President, 2014-2015
SHARE, SHARE, SHARE

Tag @aasl
#ESSAlibraries
Send photos to jhabley@ala.org

ESSA Webpage
http://essa.aasl.org/

ALA Washington Office
Emily Sheketoff
Executive Director of Washington Office of American Library Association
https://vimeo.com/183396897
The American Association of School Librarians (AASL) supports the position that:

An effective school library program has a certified school librarian at the helm, provides personalized learning environments, and offers equitable access to resources to ensure a well-rounded education for every student.

As a fundamental component of college, career, and community readiness, the effective school library program:

1. is adequately staffed, including a state-certified school librarian who
   a. is an instructional leader and teacher,
   b. supports the development of digital learning, participatory learning, inquiry learning, technology literacies, and information literacy, and
   c. supports, supplements, and elevates the literacy experience through guidance and motivational reading initiatives;

2. has up-to-date digital and print materials and technology, including curation of openly licensed educational resources; and

3. provides regular professional development and collaboration between classroom teachers and school librarians.
Title I

Title I – Improving basic programs operated by state and local educational agencies

Message: School librarians and access to effective school library programs, impact student achievement, digital literacy skills, and school climate/culture.

https://vimeo.com/183388920

Title II, Part A

Title II: Supporting effective instruction

Message: School librarians share their learning with other professionals when they attend conferences and workshops, applying the benefits of new techniques, strategies, and technologies to the entire district.

https://vimeo.com/183390785
LEARN

Literacy education for all, results for the nation (LEARN)

New literacy program that specifically authorized school librarians to participate in required activities.

https://vimeo.com/183392270

IAL

Innovative Approaches to Literacy (IAL)

New authorization that specially authorizes funds to be used for developing and enhancing effective school library programs.

https://vimeo.com/183393334

Title II, Part B

Title II, Part B: Literacy education for all, results for the nation (LEARN)/ Innovative Approaches to Literacy (IAL)

https://vimeo.com/183393643

Message: School librarians are uniquely suited to lead the effort in applying for competitive grants because of their expertise and access to strong professional learning networks.
Title IV, Part A

Title IV, Part A: Student Support and Academic Enrichment Grants (Block Grants)

Message: School librarians increase access to personalized, rigorous learning experiences supported by technology, allowing equitable resources for all students.

https://vimeo.com/183394913

---

Washington ESSA Update

Washington Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction ESSA Page

http://www.k12.wa.us/ESEA/ESSA/

Included in handbook:

- Organizational Chart
- Draft Timeline
- Response to rulemaking

---

Washington ESSA Updates

Review Tours:

- **November 14**, 6:30–8:30 pm, Highline Performing Arts Center, Burien, WA
- **November 15**, 6–8 pm, Yakima area (TBD)
- **November 16**, 6–8 pm, Spokane Convention Center, Spokane, WA
- **November 28**, 6–8 pm, Webinar, Register (required)
Washington ESSA Updates

Each review tour is open to the public and will cover:

- An overview of the draft plan
- Response to comments on the process and content of the draft plan
- Information on how to submit comments to the draft plan

Identifying Stakeholders

- Critical to identify stakeholders
  - Including at the school, district (LEA) and state (SEA) levels
- Form coalitions
  - Bring together groups and organizations that support libraries
- Think outside the box
  - Utilize relationships and connections with education officials and policymakers in support of the effort
- When anyone thinks of ESSA how do you get them to connect to school libraries
  - Be prepared to talk about positive impact of library programming in the community – How are you making a difference?
ESSA Stakeholder Engagement Required Participants

- Governor
- State legislature
- State boards of education
- Local educational agencies
- Representatives of Indian Tribes located in the state
- Teachers
- Principals & other school leaders
- Specialized instructional support personnel
- Paraprofessionals
- Administration & other staff
- Parents

State Support and Opportunities

- Must provide support to schools not meeting state-determined goals for student and school performance
- Must engage stakeholders and include them in the development of state plans to monitor student and school performance.
- School Librarians are among the list of stakeholders
- Be at the table!

Parents as Stakeholder Partners

- ESSA includes a provision for family engagement. Parents must be “meaningfully consulted” and involved in:
  - State and local Title I plans
  - Title II state and local applications
  - Title III state and local plans
  - Title IV-A local applications
  - Title IV-B state applications
  - State and local report cards
  - School improvement plans
Coalition Development Plan

• Name individual/organization/business
• What previous activities/news tie them to your efforts
• What stake do they have in school library funding
• What can they contribute to your plan
• What three things could you do today to strengthen a relationship with them
Connect Message to Stakeholder

Key Words/Phrases

• Specialized instructional support staff
• Digital literacy skills
• Academic achievement
• Personalized, rigorous learning experiences
• Adequate access to school libraries
• Use technology effectively
• Effective integration of technology
• Improve instruction and student achievement

Example

- Local public library
  - Summer reading programs
  - Reading for fun
- School libraries
  - Connect public awareness
  - Engage community
  - Work with teachers
- School librarians
  - Engage in professional development
  - Act as change agents

Key Message Development:

• School librarians are teachers, specialized instructional support staff, trained to teach and help students develop digital literacy skills.
• The school library is an important asset to students as they continue their work outside of school but it also supports and funds school libraries.
• Personalized, rigorous learning experiences and library research connect student, school, and community.
• Digital literacy skills are essential for success as students move into the work force that requires the effective use of technology and while there is a direct impact for parents to support a strong school library program there is tremendous value for the business and community too.
Connecting the Dots - Key Messages

Four ESSA areas (Title I, Title II- Part A, Title II- Part B, and Title IV, Part A)
Review the key messages on your message card
Connect the dots to your work
Title I: Improving basic programs operated by state and local educational agencies

Message: School librarians and access to effective school library programs, impact student achievement, digital literacy skills, and school climate/culture.

Conversation Response: Yes, the internet has certainly put information at your fingertips but do you believe everything you read on the internet? Students today have a hard time navigating such a vast amount of information and learning digital literacy skills is critical to their success in school and after when they navigate college or start a career.

ESSA Elevator Speech

Reminders:
• The intention is to educate not humiliate.
• What you do is important, so sound important.
• Practice
• You can start the conversation.

Elevator Speech Development

Step One: Make the connection from ESSA language to AASL’s school library talking points to your school library program.

Step Two: Practice

Step Three: Fine Tuning

Step Four: Practice Again
Elevator Speech Development

Step One: Make the connection from ESSA language to AASL's school library talking points to your school library program.

Step Two: Practice

Step Three: Fine Tuning

Step Four: Practice Again

10/6/2016
ESSA Federal Legislation Timeline

1965 ESEA was enacted by Congress and signed into law.

1968 Congress expanded ESEA to include new programs (and titles) that serve at-risk children (migrants and neglected children). The Bilingual Education Act was also passed.

1994 The renewal of the ESEA called for states to develop standards and standards-aligned assessments for all students. States and districts were obligated to identify schools that were not making “adequate yearly progress” as detailed in the Improving America’s Schools Act (IASA).

2002 ESEA became NCLB (No Child Left Behind Act). NCLB shifted much of the decision-making and resource allocation away from states. NCLB also significantly expanded testing requirements.

2015 In December 2015, bipartisan support for the ESSA was high and the overdue reauthorization was finally signed into law.
President Obama signs the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) into law
U.S. Department of Education (ED) publishes request for information (RFI) re: regulations on Title I of ESSA
ED holds three sessions of negotiated rulemaking (neg-reg) on assessment and supplement, not supplant (SNS) issues
ED releases assessment regulations that were agreed to by the neg-reg committee
ED releases a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) on accountability and state plan issues under Title I
(1) ESEA waivers are null and void, per the statute, and
(2) comments are due on the NPRM re: accountability and state plan issues
Effective date for competitive programs under ESSA, unless otherwise provided for in the statute
Final regulations published by ED
States submit plans for School Year 2017-2018
ED begins peer review and approves state plans
Effective date for formula grant programs under ESSA
New state plans take effect in schools at the start of the 2017-2018 school year
Conference Agreement to Reauthorize the Elementary and Secondary Education Act

OPPORTUNITIES FOR SCHOOL LIBRARIANS

This document highlights library-related provisions in P.L. 114-95, the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) and provides an overview of initial next steps to help maximize opportunities for effective school library programming under the new law.

TITLE I, PART A – IMPROVING BASIC PROGRAMS OPERATED BY STATE AND LOCAL EDUCATIONAL AGENCIES

Background

- Under Title I, Part A of ESSA, States (referred to as State Educational Agencies) and school districts (referred to as Local Educational Agencies) must develop plans to implement federally-funded education activities.

- States and school districts must develop their plans with “timely and meaningful consultation with” teachers, principals and other stakeholders, including “specialized instructional support personnel” which is defined under ESSA as specifically including school librarians.

Library Provisions

- ESSA includes new provisions that authorize – but do not require – school districts to include in their local plans how they will assist schools in developing effective school library programs to provide students an opportunity to develop digital literacy skills and improve academic achievement.

Next Steps

- Because the local application provision related to effective school library programming is allowable (not required from the federal level), it is critical that school district personnel be made aware of their ability to develop and implement effective school library programming.

- Contact and work with the superintendent’s office in developing the local plan under Title I, Part A to ensure that the school district takes into consideration:
  - The importance of developing and maintaining effective school library programs; and
  - How effective school library programs can help with the development of digital literacy skills and improve academic achievement.

- Contact and work with State and school district officials regarding the ability of school librarians to participate in both the State and school district planning and application process (as part of “specialized instructional support personnel”).
TITLE II, PART A – SUPPORTING EFFECTIVE INSTRUCTION

Background

- Title II, Part A of ESSA provides funds for States and school districts to increase academic achievement through professional development.

Library Provisions

- ESSA includes new provisions that authorize States, as well as school districts, to use grant and subgrant funds for “supporting the instructional services provided by effective school library programs.”

Next Steps

- Because States and school districts can now use their Title II, Part A funds specifically to support effective school library programming, it will be important to make sure that school district and school personnel that develop and implement professional development activities are aware of the new uses of funds related to libraries.

- Work with school district and school personnel to encourage the use of Title II, Part A funds specifically for effective school library programming, as well as part of other professional development efforts taking place with these funds.

  - Note that under the No Child Left Behind Act (NCLB), Title II, Part A funds were primarily used for professional development for “teachers” which made it difficult for other instructional support personnel to participate in activities. ESSA rectifies this by specifically authorizing funds to be used to support instructional services provided by effective school library programs.

TITLE II, PART B, SUBPART 2 – LITERACY EDUCATION FOR ALL, RESULTS FOR THE NATION (LEARN)

Background

- ESSA includes a new literacy program that provides federal support to States to develop, revise, or update comprehensive literacy instruction plans. States award competitive subgrants to school districts for activities that focus on children in kindergarten through grade 5 as well as children in grades 6 through 12.

Library Provisions

- ESSA specifically authorizes school librarians to participate in required grant activities that focus on children in kindergarten through grade 5 as well as activities that focus on children in grades 6 through 12.

- In addition, ESSA allows all local subgrants (that serve children in kindergarten through grade 5 and/or children in grades 6 through 12) to be used to provide time for teachers and school librarians to meet, plan and collaborate on comprehensive literacy instruction.
Next Steps

- Since this is a new competitive program under ESSA, it will be important to work with individuals responsible for literacy instruction and development at the State, school district, and school level.

- Encourage/assist appropriate State, school district, and/or school personnel in developing and applying for grants or subgrants.
  
  o Note that subgrants awarded for local uses of funds must include professional development for school personnel that specifically includes school librarians. Therefore, any grants awarded at the school district level under this program must provide professional development for school librarians.

TITLE II, PART B, SUBPART 2, SECTION 2226 – INNOVATIVE APPROACHES TO LITERACY (IAL)

Background

- ESSA includes a new authorization of the Innovative Approaches to Literacy (IAL) program (previously funded through appropriations legislation) that provides dedicated funding to promote literacy programs in low income communities.
  
  o Note that while IAL activities have been funded over the past several years through appropriations bills, the “codification” (or explicit authorization) of this program in ESSA provides a specific “line item” to help better secure funding in future years.

Library Provisions

- ESSA specifically authorizes funds to be used for developing and enhancing effective school library programs, which includes providing professional development for school librarians, books, and up-to-date materials to high need schools.

Next Steps

- Since IAL is a competitive grant program that has been funded in the past (through appropriations), but is newly authorized under ESSA, it will be important to focus advocacy efforts at the federal level to ensure enough funds are appropriated to continue and possibly expand the IAL program.
  
  o Note that while efforts to fund IAL in the past have been successful, the lack of an explicit authorization for these activities has hindered advocacy efforts related to expanding the program. The specific authorization of IAL under ESSA will help with future funding as Congress has expressed its support for these activities under the most recent authorization of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act.
TITLE IV, PART A – STUDENT SUPPORT AND ACADEMIC ENRICHMENT GRANTS (BLOCK GRANT)

Background

- ESSA authorizes a new program to provide Student Support and Academic Enrichment activities (commonly referred to as the “Block Grant” under ESSA) to help States and school districts target federal resources on locally-designed priorities.
  - Funds are allocated to States and then school districts based on their share of Title I, Part A funding (formula grant allocated on the basis of poverty).

Library Provisions

- ESSA authorizes (but does not require) States to use funds to assist school districts in providing programs and activities that increase access to personalized, rigorous learning experiences supported by technology, including adequate “access to school libraries.”

- ESSA also authorizes (but does not require) States to use funds to assist school districts in providing school librarians and other school personnel with the knowledge and skills to use technology effectively, including effective integration of technology, to improve instruction and student achievement.

- In developing their local applications, school districts must consult with teachers, principals and other stakeholders, including “specialized instructional support personnel” which is defined under ESSA as specifically including school librarians.

- In addition, ESSA requires that school districts conduct a “needs assessment” prior to receiving funds from the State (that must be conducted every 3 years). The needs assessment must include access to personalized learning experiences (which may include access to school libraries).

Next Steps

- Because States are authorized (and not required) to support school districts by providing programs and activities that increase access to personalized learning experiences (which may include professional development for school librarians and better access to school libraries for students), it will be important to contact and work with State Educational Agency officials to make them aware of their ability to use funds in support of personalized learning experiences.

- Since school districts are required to consult with stakeholders that may include school librarians on the development and implementation of their local activities, it is critical to work with technology leaders at the school and school district levels to ensure that school librarians can adequately participate in the planning process.
Description of Grants
The newly enacted bipartisan Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) includes a flexible block grant program under Title IV Part A, which is authorized at $1.65 billion in FY 2017. Title IV, Part A authorizes activities in three broad areas:

1) Providing students with a well-rounded education (e.g. college and career counseling, STEM, arts, civics, IB/AP)
2) Supporting safe and healthy students (e.g. comprehensive school mental health, drug and violence prevention, training on trauma-informed practices, health and physical education) and
3) Supporting the effective use of technology (professional development, blended learning, devices).

Distribution of Funds
Each state will receive an allocation based on the Title I funding formula. Using the same Title I formula, the states will then allocate funds to school districts.

Any school district that receives a formula allocation above $30,000 must conduct a needs assessment and then must expend 20 percent of its grant on safe and healthy school activities and 20 percent on activities to provide a well-rounded education programs. The remaining 60% of the money can be spent on all three priorities, including technology. However, there is a 15% cap on devices, equipment, software and digital content.

If a district receives an allocation below $30,000, the law does not require a needs assessment or setting aside percentages for well-rounded and safe and healthy students programs. It must spend money on activities in at least one of the three categories. The 15 percent technology purchase cap would continue to apply.

President’s FY17 Budget Request
The President’s FY 2017 budget proposal would provide $500 million for the Title IV flexible block grant, less than one-third of the authorized $1.65 billion level. The Administration also requested to include language in the appropriations bill that would allow states to distribute $50,000 per year to districts on a competitive basis and would allow states to limit their spending to just one of the three listed priorities, or specific activities within one of the priorities.

Since the Student Support and Academic Enrichments Grants program is the third largest authorized program in ESSA, failing to adequately fund it, as the President’s FY 2017 budget proposes to do, will undermine the bipartisan Congressional intent in passing this important law.

Program Funding Need
Strong evidence demonstrates the need for students to have access to health and safety programs, a diversity of academic programs, and modern technology.

- Evidence supports a direct correlation between physical and mental health and learning that is essential to academic success, school completion, and the development of healthy, resilient, and productive citizens. Schools are uniquely positioned to help students acquire life-long knowledge and skills through comprehensive health education, physical education, nutrition, comprehensive school mental and behavioral health services, counseling, and integration among all education and health programs.
- In order to prepare students to succeed, they need access to a well-rounded curriculum. Funds through the block grant will help schools expand music, art, STEM, computer science, accelerated learning, history, and civics courses, as well as expand access to college and career guidance and counseling.
Federal investments in education technology ensure schools have technology-proficient educators, well equipped classrooms, sufficiently supported administrative structures, and a curriculum optimized to take advantage of the benefits technology offers to all students—such as closing the opportunity and learning gaps and providing students with essential modern workforce skills.

Given the elimination under the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) of numerous programs that support the overall health and safety of students, the investments in education technology, as well as helping districts ensure access to a well-rounded education, a robust federal investment in support of these programs is absolutely essential through Title IV Part A. Without a significant investment in Title IV, Part A, districts will be forced into choosing which of the priorities to invest in—even though an ample investment in all three is necessary to providing students with a comprehensive education.

For further information, or if any questions arise, please contact Sunil Mansukhani at The Raben Group, smansukhani@rabengroup.com or Jon Bernstein at Bernstein Strategy Group, jbernstein@jbernsteinstrategy.com.
On December 10, 2015, President Obama signed the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA), a long-awaited overhaul of federal education law. Passed with bipartisan support, ESSA represents a shift from broad federal oversight of primary and secondary education to greater flexibility and decision making at the state and local levels. The law replaces the Elementary and Secondary Education Act as amended by the 2002 No Child Left Behind Act.

Washington is committed to involving educators, parents and other stakeholders as we explore new ways to ensure that all our students receive the education they need for bright futures.

ESSA requires that states develop plans that address standards, assessments, school and district accountability, and special help for struggling schools.

The transition plan as outlined in ESSA includes:

- The new federal law does not impact the 2015–16 school year. Washington’s ESEA Accountability State Plan remains in force until August 1, 2016. For the remainder of the 2015–16 school year and during the 2016–17 school year, interventions will continue in schools identified as priority and focus schools.
- The 2016–17 school year will be a transition period with the continuation of current practices unless modified by U.S. Department of Education (ED) guidance. Full implementation of the state’s ESSA Consolidation Plan will be effective in the 2017–18 school year.
The Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction (OSPI) looks forward to working with all Washington educators and citizens to take full advantage of this unique opportunity to reshape our state’s education system. The ESSA requires Washington and all local districts and schools to create a plan to meet the requirements of the new law. The state and local plans go into effect for the 2017–18 school year. While states have not yet received a deadline for submission of state plans from ED, this timeline is based on the best information available to the states. OSPI will update this timeline as more information becomes available from the ED.

**Working Draft Timeline**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>December 2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Review ESSA provisions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Develop ESSA side-by-side analysis of law.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Develop draft time line.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>January 2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Develop ESSA summary PowerPoint.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Develop planning framework.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Build stakeholder engagement plan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Update legislative leadership, Governor’s staff.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Senior staff facilitated discussion, OSPI Internal Team.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Begin process to establish ESSA Consolidated Plan and ESSA Workgroups.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Meet with State Board of Education (SBE) Staff.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Meet with Professional Educators Standards Board.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Set up OSPI ESSA website.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Present ESSA PowerPoint at Educational Service District (ESD) Superintendent’s meetings.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>February 2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• SBE Achievement and Accountability Workgroup (AAW) update and discussion.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Invite and Identify Members for ESSA Consolidated Plan Team.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Continue ESD Superintendent Meeting Updates.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Meet with Governor’s staff to discuss next steps of development of plan and identify staff to participate on the ESSA Consolidated Plan Team.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>March 2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Finalize list for participation on the ESSA Consolidated Plan Team and workgroups for Superintendent Dorn’s approval.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Meet with OSPI ESSA Internal Leadership Team and workgroup leads to design work plans for the ESSA Consolidated Plan Team and for workgroups.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Update website and provide information on the development procedure; identify members of ESSA Consolidated Plan Team; Add a public comment section.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Update Governor’s staff, legislators, and SBE.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Hold first meeting of the ESSA Consolidated Plan Team.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Invite workgroup members.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
• Hold workgroup team lead meetings; Identify specific elements of each workgroup that must be addressed in the ESSA Consolidated Plan.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>April–May 2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Hold work sessions of the ESSA Consolidated Plan Team.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Hold workgroup team meetings; in some cases teams may need to periodically work together.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>June–July 2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Hold work session of the ESSA Consolidated Plan Team which includes presentation on the initial work of workgroup teams.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Hold workgroup meetings; In some cases, workgroups may need to periodically work together.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Estimated draft guidance from ED.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>August 2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Workgroups to finalize work and submit to ESSA Consolidated Plan Team for review.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Develop initial draft of ESSA Consolidated Plan.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>September–October 2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Formalize recommendations from the ESSA Workgroups to be considered by the ESSA Consolidated Plan Team.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Formalize draft of ESSA Consolidated Plan through ESSA Consolidated Plan Team meetings.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Present initial plan to Superintendent Dorn for comment.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>November 2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Estimated final guidance from ED.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Present draft ESSA Consolidated Plan at State Board of Education meeting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Finalize ESSA Consolidated Plan and present to Superintendent Dorn for approval.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Finalize ESSA Consolidated Plan and present to the Governor (30 days before submission to ED).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Finalize ESSA Consolidated Plan and present to the legislature (30 days before submission to ED).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Publish ESSA Consolidated Plan on OSPI’s website for public comment (30 days before submission to USDE).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please visit our website at [www.k12.wa.us/ESEA/ESSA](http://www.k12.wa.us/ESEA/ESSA) for all up-to-date ESSA information.

**Washington Regional Forums:**
June 14, Everett Community Resource Center, Everett
June 15, Emerald Ridge High School, Puyallup
June 16, Educational Service District 112, Vancouver
July 11, Educational Service District 105, Yakima
July 12, Wenatchee High School, Wenatchee
July 13, West Valley High School, Spokane
July 19, Highline Performing Arts Center, Burien
August 1, Webinar (register online)
August 2, Educational Service District 114, Bremerton
*All forums are 6–8 p.m.*

[www.k12.wa.us/essa](http://www.k12.wa.us/essa)
August 1, 2016

The Honorable Meredith Miller
U.S. Department of Education
400 Maryland Avenue SW
Washington, DC 20202

Docket ID: ED-2016-OESE-0032

Dear Ms. Miller:

As Washington’s State Superintendent of Public Instruction, I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments regarding the U.S. Department of Education’s (ED) Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) on accountability and state plans under the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) as amended by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA). Washington serves 1.1 million students in over 2,100 schools. We need to have the flexibility in ESSA rules to provide districts the supports they need to prepare students for college, career and their future lives. After a review of proposed regulations, I believe that a number of these would eliminate state and district flexibility. In some instances, it seems like a return to the archaic measures required under No Child Left Behind (NCLB). The populations of our districts range from Seattle (52,800) to Benge (14), with over two-thirds of our students housed in rural and remote areas. There are a number of proposed regulations that will provide clarity on instituting ESSA. However, there are some that go well beyond the intent of Congress. I have expressed many of my concerns with Senator Patty Murray and her staff, and now bring these concerns to ED.

Washington state’s concerns with the ESSA proposed regulations and recommendations are:

I. Performance Levels for Indicators and Summative Ratings (Proposed Section 200.18(b) and (b)(4))

Currently in Washington, we have a workgroup that is reviewing the ESSA requirement that states develop accountability systems that meaningfully differentiate between schools. The State believes that ED has gone beyond their authority and has proposed regulations that are too specific in requiring a published single rating. Washington will be required to identify their lowest performing schools. We will need to create a metric that does that, and our ESSA Consolidated Plan may include how information be portrayed through a dashboard. The requirement for a single measure is more restrictive than necessary as it is not part of the ESSA statute.

The State’s ESSA Accountability System Workgroup has been discussing which data are important for differentiating between schools/districts. Washington is moving toward creating a fair and understandable method of evaluating school success but ought to retain flexibility of how to do so, within the constraints of including the multiple measures.
As State Superintendent, I have required my staff to change their thinking from the NCLB model of telling schools in the bottom five percent that they are failing, to tell these schools that there are supports and resources available to assist the schools in meeting their improvement goals. In addition, the State is concerned about the mandate in the proposed rules to designate three levels of performance across each indicator. This requirement is not found in the statutory language of ESSA, instead it has been created by ED and mirrors earlier policies under waivers of ESEA. The U.S. Department of Education should not require that states provide ratings based on three levels of performance. Some states may prefer to place schools in one of multiple performance levels, while other states may find it best to provide schools’ actual scores on a particular metric and then note whether or not the school will be identified for improvement. Both options would clearly differentiate between schools and provide adequate information to parents, teachers, and other stakeholders.

**Recommendation:**
A state should have the authority to make the decision as to how they will evaluate school success—be it the use of a single summative rating or through multiple ratings, so long as they comply with statutory provisions requiring clear distinctions among schools. The final regulation should also be clear that it is at the discretion of the state if the state selects to use a dashboard to display data or a single rating. States should also have the discretion to determine how many performance levels are appropriate across measures and overall.

II. Timeline for Interventions (Proposed Section 200.19(d)(2) Identification of schools)
The Every Student Succeeds Act proposed rules require that Title I accountability requirements go into effect in the 2017–18 school year. This means that the schools in need of interventions are required to be identified the summer of 2017 based on data from the 2016–17 school year. This isn’t feasible for Washington, as some of that data are not yet available in the window of time between the end of one school year and the beginning of the next. There must be time to finalize each measure, such as graduation rates which are not calculated in Washington until the fall of the subsequent year so districts have time to submit, review, and update their data. Similarly, the assessment results are compiled in late summer. The proposed timeline does not allow OSPI time to compile the underlying measures, nor does it allow for preparation of the data metrics or index to be used in the identification of schools, nor school review of the information.

**Recommendation:**
Washington proposes that this rule be changed to allow states to either identify schools in 2017–18 based upon data available in 2016–17, or allow states to either identify schools prior to the 2018–19 school year based upon data from 2017–18 and prior years and continue ongoing efforts under prior law to improve currently identified schools during the 2016–17 and 2017–18 school years.

III. Allocation of School Improvement Funds (Proposed Section 200.24)
As noted earlier in the beginning of this document, Washington has major population differences among the States’ 295 school districts and over 2,100 schools. Presently we have a school identified as a Priority school that has 208 students, while in another district, a Priority school has 869 students. There are some of our districts that have one school that is identified as a Priority
school and in another district there are eight Priority schools. Washington has a major concern
with the way this regulation is written. The proposed regulations requires a state to allocate a
minimum of $500,000 per year to each school identified for comprehensive support, and $50,000
per year to schools identified with targeted support. This minimum provides no differentiation
based on the size or circumstances of each school.

Because of the differences in Washington’s school populations, the minimum amount may be far
more than is needed for some schools and may be the right amount for others. Historically,
Washington has had some issues with schools not spending their school improvement funds
because they received more funding than was needed. In other instances, a school found that they
needed additional funds to accomplish their improvement goals. States need the flexibility to work
with schools identified as comprehensive or targeted.

Additionally, in Washington the seven percent school improvement set-aside would be insufficient
to allocate the minimum amounts required to each school identified for comprehensive and
targeted support.

Recommendation:
Remove this regulation and replace with a provision that allows a state, in consultation with
the schools that have been identified as comprehensive or targeted, including consultation
with said schools district, to determine the financial needs of identified schools to
implement necessary interventions and the appropriate distribution of funding between
identified schools within the state.

IV. Per-pupil Expenditure Reporting (Proposed Section 200.35)
Washington is concerned about the timeline for including per-pupil expenditure data in the State
and local report cards. My agency has spent the last few years developing sophisticated data
systems. These systems focus on student achievement rather than dollars. Currently, we are
working to design a system that can be used to collect and report school site per-pupil expenditure
data of federal, State, and local dollars.

Existing data collection systems which are available for use may not be sufficiently accurate, and
may not differentiate in enough detail between types of funding. Drafting policies for data reporting, setting up systems for data collection, and monitoring the
collection of this data will, in many cases, not be possible in the 2017–18 school year or even in
the succeeding fiscal year (noting the allowance that the proposed regulations have provided).
Instead, this will be a multiyear development process in most States which will take a backseat to
the development of substantive state and district plans and interventions. That should be
acknowledged in the final regulations.

Recommendation:
Washington strongly supports ED to take steps to reduce the administrative burden in this
reporting. Please consider creating an exemption for some districts. For example, an
exception from this reporting requirement could be made for LEAs with fewer than 1,000
students, or districts could report this same data by grade span. This has some precedent
within ESEA. For example, small/rural districts are not required to conduct comprehensive
needs assessments under Section 4106. Such exceptions would ensure that most districts provide the data required, but that this requirement would not impose an outsized administrative burden on those districts least able to meet it, either in terms of staff or technology.

V. Timeline for the Submission of State Plan (Proposed Section 299 State Plans)
Washington had intended to submit the ESSA Consolidated Plan to ED by the end of November. This was based on the fact that the law gave ED a 120 day timeline for approval of the plan. Districts need time to plan for program implementation, staffing, and many other activities for the next school year. Our districts start that process in January. If the plan was approved by the first part of March, districts would have ESSA program information to allow them to effectively plan for the 2017–18 school year. The March and July dates for submission will not give the State or districts the time to plan and implement ESSA to begin in the 2017–18 school year.

Additionally, Washington is working towards providing a consolidated federal and state program plan for districts. This will assist districts in meeting the ESSA goals to best use their federal programs to meet the goals that a district sets to provide appropriate education and support services to their students. If the State has to wait for approval of the ESSA Consolidated Plan based on either the March or July submission dates, districts would not have the opportunity to design their district consolidated applications before the beginning of the 2017–18 school year. The State and districts would then be operating under an assumption that all provisions of the State’s Consolidated Plan would be approved.

Furthermore, a district would have to craft a comprehensive intervention plan for State approval before the State plan is even finalized. A district’s comprehensive intervention plan would be developed without the knowledge of the types of support structures the State would provide, the amount of school improvement funds that would be awarded, and even more important—the reasons why the school was identified comprehensive and what were the State’s determined metrics against which improvement was measured?

Recommendation:
In order to address these issues, Washington proposes that states be allowed to submit their ESSA plans as early as December 1, 2016.

VI. State Plan Requirements (Proposed Section’s 299.14(c) and 299.16 through 299.19)
The U.S. Department of Education has also asked states to undertake a massive amount of analysis and planning with these Consolidated Plans. The requirements for drafting these plans, and the factors which must be considered, go far beyond the legislative language. In fact, the language of ESSA clearly states that the Secretary may “require only descriptions, information, assurances…” The proposed regulations in sections of 299, further add to the reporting burden.

Some specific examples of ED going beyond the statute are as follows:
- Under proposed Section 299.14(c), the SEA would be required to describe its performance management system for “each component required” under Sections 299.16 through 299.19. Each of these descriptions must include six discrete elements. Because sections 299.16 through 299.19 include some 40 different components (individual requirements), it appears
that the states would have to include 240 separate descriptions of their performance management systems, as well as additional performance information required under Sections 299.17(e) and 299.19(b). None of these descriptions is required under the statute.

- While the law requires SEAs to describe how low income and minority children in Title I schools are not served at disproportionate rates by ineffective, out-of-field, and inexperienced teachers, and to describe how they will report on and evaluate the State’s progress in this area, the proposed regulations would go well beyond the statutory language, calling for new definitions and reporting timelines that are different from those included in the proposed annual report card requirements. Another aspect of the proposed regulations that goes beyond the statutory language includes the requirement to conduct “root cause analyses” of the disproportionality. We appreciate ED’s desire to have states continue the progress they have made under their teacher equity plans, but building so much (sometimes confusing) detail into the regulations is unnecessary and overly prescriptive.

- Under Section 299.19(a)(ii), the SEA’s description of how it will support a well-rounded and supportive education for all students would be required to include the state’s strategies (and the rationales for those strategies), timelines, and funding sources for providing equitable access to rigorous courses in 17 separate subject areas, as well as in other subjects in which female students, minority students, English learners, students with disabilities, and low-income students are underrepresented. There is no statutory requirement for this description of this plan in general, and much less so for a requirement to provide four types of information on at least 17 subjects.

- Under proposed Section 299.19(a)(3), the plan would be required to include a review, on an LEA-by-LEA basis, of districts’ budgeting and resource allocations in four separate areas. There is no requirement to include a review in the statute.

- Under proposed Section 299.16(b)(3), the plan would include a description of the SEA’s strategies for providing all students in the state the opportunity to be prepared for and to take advanced math coursework in middle school. This language is taken from the negotiated rulemaking committee agreement on assessments, but unlike the language approved by that committee, which would apply the requirement only to states that elect to exempt certain students from the regular middle school math assessment, this language would apply to all states.

States would welcome a true consolidated plan; one that includes the elements previously required, but not one that is more time-consuming and burdensome than to submit each of the individual program plans.

**Recommendation:**
Allow a state to submit a streamlined plan that includes essential elements of a consolidated plan, without adding planning requirements that go beyond the statute.
Thank you for the opportunity to provide Washington’s comments and recommendations on the U.S. Department of Education’s Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on accountability and state plans under the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) as amended by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA). If you need clarification on any of the comments made in this letter, please contact me at 360-725-6115 or email randy.dorn@k12.wa.us. The agency TTY number is 360-664-3631.

Sincerely,

Randy I. Dorn
State Superintendent
of Public Instruction
“Ask Me How School Librarians Ensure Student Success”

Title I

Improving basic programs operated by state and local educational agencies

Message

School librarians and access to effective school library programs, impact student achievement, digital literacy skills, and school climate/culture.

Title II

Supporting effective instruction

Message

School librarians share their learning with other professionals when they attend conferences and workshops, applying the benefits of new techniques, strategies, and technologies to the entire district.

Title II, Part B

Literacy education for all, results for the nation (LEARN)/ Innovative Approaches to Literacy (IAL)

Message

School librarians are uniquely suited to lead the effort in applying for competitive grants because of their expertise and access to strong professional learning networks.

Title IV, Part A

Student Support and Academic Enrichment Grants (Block Grants)

Message

School librarians increase access to personalized, rigorous learning experiences supported by technology, allowing equitable resources for all students.
Coalition Development Plan

Of course there are some “assumed” coalition groups- teachers, parents and administrators. But this is an opportunity to think outside the box and garner support from the community at large. Who in your community would have a stake in local school district plans to ensure that school library programs provide students an opportunity to develop digital literacy skills and improve academic achievement? Who needs to have college and career ready individuals graduating today?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Individual/Organization/Business Name</th>
<th>What previous activities, news or accomplishments tie them to your efforts?</th>
<th>What stake do they have in school library funding?</th>
<th>What can they contribute to your plan?</th>
<th>What three things could you do today to strengthen a relationship with them?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual/Organization/Business Name</td>
<td>What previous activities, news or accomplishments tie them to your efforts?</td>
<td>What stake do they have in school library funding?</td>
<td>What can they contribute to your plan?</td>
<td>What three things could you do today to strengthen a relationship with them?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Message Development

You’ve determined who your audience is (refer back to Coalition Development Plan), and you have key message points from ESSA related to school library funding (refer back to Key ESSA Message Points), but do not assume that communicating the key messages verbatim to your potential coalition partners will yield results. You must transform the message into a language that will appeal to your potential coalition members.

While you need to adjust messaging to fit the audience there are still key words that you do not want to remove—bolded below. It is important that when anyone is talking about ESSA, even if they are not directly referencing school library program, they are connecting these words to the school library.

- **Specialized instructional support staff.** ESSA specifically includes school librarians in the definition of specialized instructional support staff.
- School library programs support the development of digital literacy skills and academic achievement.
- Title IV Student Support and Academic Enrichment Grant (Block Grants), allocated based on Title I eligibility, authorizes states to use funds to assist school districts in providing programs and activities that increase access to personalized, rigorous learning experiences support by technology, including adequate access to school libraries.
  - Block Grants also authorize states to use funds to assist school districts in providing school librarians and other school personnel with the knowledge and skills to use technology effectively, including effective integration of technology, to improve instruction and student achievement.
- Reference the “Ask Me How School Librarians Ensure Student Success” message cards
  - Title I – School librarians and access to effective school library programs, impact student achievement, digital literacy skills, and school climate/culture.
  - Title II, Part A – School librarians share their learning with other professionals when they attend conferences and workshops, applying the benefits of new techniques, strategies, and technologies to the entire district.
  - Title II, Part B, Subpart 1 – School librarians are uniquely suited to lead the effort in applying for competitive grants because of their expertise and access to strong professional learning networks.
  - Title IV, Part A - School Librarians increase access to personalized, rigorous learning experiences supported by technology, allowing equitable resources for all students.
Message Development Example

Take one row in your Coalition Development chart and create a key message for middle three blocks, incorporating the message points and key words. Example:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Individual/Organization/ Business Name</th>
<th>What previous activities, news or accomplishments tie them to your efforts?</th>
<th>What stake do they have in school library funding?</th>
<th>What can they contribute to your plan?</th>
<th>What three things could you do today to strengthen a relationship with them?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local public library</td>
<td>Summer reading programs, marketing regarding access to information and technology for community</td>
<td>School libraries create knowledgeable library users; school libraries fill a specific role in connection to curriculum and without would create a gap between services</td>
<td>General public awareness; engaging non-parents, but library friends in efforts</td>
<td>1. Meet with staff to provide information. 2. Ask to hold an information session at public library for general public 3. Ask to present to their friends group and in return offer time with school parents group</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key Message Development:

- School librarians are teachers, **specialized instructional support staff**, trained to teach library skills early and develop lifelong library users and supporters.

- The public library is an incredible asset to students as they continue their work outside of school but a fully staff and funded school library connects student **personalized, rigorous learning experience**, and library research, to **academic achievement**.

- **Digital literacy skills** are essential for success as students move into the work force that requires the **effective use of technology** and while there is a direct impact for parents to support a strong school library program there is tremendous value for the business and community too.
## Message Development Worksheets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Individual/Organization/ Business Name</th>
<th>What previous activities, news or accomplishments tie them to your efforts?</th>
<th>What stake do they have in school library funding?</th>
<th>What can they contribute to your plan?</th>
<th>What three things could you do today to strengthen a relationship with them?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Key Message Development:**

1.  

2.  

3.  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Individual/Organization/ Business Name</th>
<th>What previous activities, news or accomplishments tie them to your efforts?</th>
<th>What stake do they have in school library funding?</th>
<th>What can they contribute to your plan?</th>
<th>What three things could you do today to strengthen a relationship with them?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Key Message Development:**

1.  

2.  

3.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Individual/Organization/ Business Name</th>
<th>What previous activities, news or accomplishments tie them to your efforts?</th>
<th>What stake do they have in school library funding?</th>
<th>What can they contribute to your plan?</th>
<th>What three things could you do today to strengthen a relationship with them?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Key Message Development:

1. 

2. 

3. 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Individual/Organization/ Business Name</th>
<th>What previous activities, news or accomplishments tie them to your efforts?</th>
<th>What stake do they have in school library funding?</th>
<th>What can they contribute to your plan?</th>
<th>What three things could you do today to strengthen a relationship with them?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Key Message Development:

1. 

2. 

3.
Elevator Speech Development

You’ve found yourself faced with the questions, “Are there really any libraries left? “ and “What with e-books and Internet are they necessary?” You’ve been asked these questions in a situation in which you know a true conversation is not possible, so how do you make the most impact in the least amount of time? Develop your messages now, and practice!

**Step One:** Make the connection from ESSA language to AASL’s school library talking points to your school library program.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ESSA Language</th>
<th>School Library Talking Point</th>
<th>Answer To Questions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Title I: Improving basic programs operated by state and local educational agencies</td>
<td>School librarians and access to effective school library programs, impact student achievement, digital literacy skills, and school climate/culture.</td>
<td>(Example) School librarians and access to effective school library programs, impact student achievement, digital literacy skills, and school climate/culture. Students today have a hard time navigating such a vast amount of information, and learning digital literacy skills is critical to their success in school and after when they navigate college or start a career.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESSA Language</td>
<td>School Library Talking Point</td>
<td>Answer To Questions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Title II: Supporting effective instruction</td>
<td>School librarians share their learning with other professionals when they attend conferences and workshops, applying the benefits of new techniques, strategies, and technologies to the entire district.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Title II, Part B: Literacy education for all, results for the nation (LEARN)/ Innovative Approaches to Literacy (IAL)</td>
<td>School librarians are uniquely suited to lead the effort in applying for competitive grants because of their expertise and access to strong professional learning networks.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESSA Language</td>
<td>School Library Talking Point</td>
<td>Answer To Questions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Title IV, Part A: Student Support and Academic Enrichment Grants (Block Grants)</td>
<td>School librarians increase access to personalized, rigorous learning experiences supported by technology, allowing equitable resources for all students.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Glossary

The following are definitions that appear in either AASL board approved position statement or as defined under the US Department of Education.

**Appropriate Staffing** (for school libraries):

1. The library program is serviced by one or more certified school librarians working full-time in the school library.

2. The specific number of additional school librarians is determined by the school’s instructional programs, services, facilities, size, and number of students and teachers.

3. In addition to library-degreed professionals, highly trained technical and clerical support staff are necessary for all library programs at every grade level. Each school should employ at least one full-time technical assistant or clerk for each school librarian. Some programs, facilities, and levels of service will require more than one support staff member for each professional.

4. The school district is served by a district library supervisor who provides leadership and support for the building-level school library programs by providing resources, professional development, and leadership in developing and implementing the district’s school library program. The district library supervisor is a member of the administrative team and helps determine the criteria and policies for the district’s curriculum and instructional programs. The district library supervisor communicates the mission, strategic plan, goals, and needs of the school and district library programs to the superintendent, board of education, other district-level personnel, and the community.

**Collaboration:**

Working with a member of the teaching team to plan, implement, and evaluate a specialized instructional plan.

**Community Readiness:**

The ability to be a productive, active, engaged member of a democratic society.

**Digital Learning:**

Learning materials and resources displayed on a digital device and shared electronically with other users. Digital learning content can be both open and/or commercial content (U.S. Dept. of Education 2016).
Digital Literacy:

The ability to use information and communication technologies to find, evaluate, create, and communicate information, requiring both cognitive and technical skills (ALA 2013).

Effective School Library Program:

1. is adequately staffed, including a state-certified school librarian who
   • is an instructional leader and teacher,
   • supports the development of digital learning, participatory learning, inquiry learning, technology literacies, and information literacy, and
   • supports, supplements, and elevates the literacy experience through guidance and motivational reading initiatives;

2. has up-to-date digital and print materials and technology, including curation of openly licensed educational resources; and

3. provides regular professional development and collaboration between classroom teachers and school librarians.

Information Literacy:

A set of abilities requiring individuals to recognize when information is needed and have the ability to locate, evaluate, and use effectively the needed information (ACRL 2000).

Information Technologies:

Modern information, computer, and communication technology products, services, or tools, including the Internet, computer devices, and other hardware, software applications, data systems, and other electronic content (including multimedia content) and data storage.

Learning Community:

A group of people (can include students) who share common academic goals and attitudes who meet regularly to share expertise and work collaboratively to improve instruction and the academic performance of students.

Local Education Agencies (LEA):

A public board of education or other public authority legally constituted within a State for either administrative control or direction of, or to perform a service function for, public elementary schools or secondary schools in a city, county, township, school district, or other political subdivision of a State, or for a combination of school districts or counties that is recognized in a State as an administrative agency for its public elementary schools or secondary schools.
Specialized Instructional Support Personnel (school librarians):

Under ESSA, perform a wide range of activities in schools, including a broad array of prevention and intervention services that promote effective teaching and learning and promote school success. SISP also collaborate with teachers and school staff to ensure that students receive high quality instruction responsive to their diverse academic, physical, social, emotional, and mental health needs.

State Education Agencies (SEA):

A formal governmental label for the state-level government agencies within each U.S. state responsible for providing information, resources, and technical assistance on educational matters to schools and residents.

Virtual Resources:

Resources that are not physical in nature, such as computer hardware platforms, operating systems, storage devices, computer network resources, electronic databases, and e-books.
Definition for Effective School Library Program

POSITION:
The American Association of School Librarians (AASL) supports the position that an effective school library program has a certified school librarian at the helm, provides personalized learning environments, and offers equitable access to resources to ensure a well-rounded education for every student.

As a fundamental component of college, career, and community readiness, the effective school library program:

1. is adequately staffed, including a state-certified school librarian who
   a. is an instructional leader and teacher,
   b. supports the development of digital learning, participatory learning, inquiry learning, technology literacies, and information literacy, and
   c. supports, supplements, and elevates the literacy experience through guidance and motivational reading initiatives;

2. has up-to-date digital and print materials and technology, including curation of openly licensed educational resources; and

3. provides regular professional development and collaboration between classroom teachers and school librarians.

Effective school libraries are dynamic learning environments that bridge the gap between access and opportunity for all K–12 learners. Under the leadership of the school librarian, the school library provides students access to resources and technology, connecting classroom learning to real-world events. By providing access to an array of well-managed resources, school libraries enable academic knowledge to be linked to deeper, personalized learning. The expanded learning environment of the school library ensures the unique interests and needs of individual students are met. In this way, effective school library programs prepare students for college, career, and community.

Under the leadership of a certified school librarian, the effective school library program delivers a well-rounded educational program (AASL 2009). This program focuses on accessing and evaluating information, providing digital learning training and experiences, and developing a culture of reading. The program uses a variety of engaging and relevant resources. Robust school libraries have high-quality, openly licensed digital and print resources, technology tools, and broadband access. This environment is essential to providing equitable learning opportunities for all students. More than 60 studies in two dozen states show that the “levels of library funding, staffing levels, collection size and range, and the instructional role of the librarian all have a direct impact on student achievement” (Gretes 2013).

In an effective school library program, the school librarian serves as an instructional leader, program administrator, teacher, collaborative partner, and information specialist (AASL 2009). Working with classroom teachers, the school librarian develops information literacy and digital literacy instruction for all students. Serving as an instructional leader, the school librarian contributes to curricular decisions and facilitates professional learning. Additionally, as the library program administrator, the school librarian oversees and manages the program and works with school and community partners. These partnerships result in expanded and improved resources and services for all students.
An effective school library program plays a crucial role in bridging digital and socioeconomic divides. School library programs staffed with state-certified professionals provide an approachable, equitable, personalized learning environment necessary for every student’s well-rounded education.

BACKGROUND:
The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) includes language for “effective school library programs” in the provisions of Title I, Part A; Title II, Part A; Title II, Part B, Subpart 2; Title II, Part B, Subpart 2, Section 2226; and Title IV, Part A. The definition of an effective school library program provides guidance to administrators, school boards, and school librarians in implementing ESSA.

DEFINITIONS:
- **Collaboration:** Working with a member of the teaching team to plan, implement, and evaluate a specialized instructional plan.
- **Community Readiness:** The ability to be a productive, active, engaged member of a democratic society.
- **School Librarian Instructional Role:** [Instructional Role of School Librarians Position Statement](#)

REFERENCES:


DISCLAIMER:
The position taken by the American Association of School Librarians (AASL) represents the organization and cannot be applied to individual members or groups affiliated with the association without their direct confirmation.

APPROVAL/REVISION DATES: June 25, 2016
Appropriate Staffing for School Libraries

POSITION:
The American Association of School Librarians (AASL) supports the position that every student in every school, including independent schools and public charter schools, should have access to an updated school library with a certified school librarian. The success of a school library program, no matter how well designed, ultimately depends on the quality and number of personnel responsible for managing the instructional program and the library’s physical and virtual resources. A certified school librarian, supported by technical and clerical staff, is crucial to an effective school library program. Every student, teacher, and administrator in every school building at every grade level should have access to a fully staffed library throughout the school day.

The following minimum school library staffing requirements define an effective school library program structured to transform teaching and learning throughout the school community:

1. The library program is serviced by one or more certified school librarians working full-time in the school library.
2. The specific number of additional school librarians is determined by the school’s instructional programs, services, facilities, size, and number of students and teachers.
3. In addition to library-degreed professionals, highly trained technical and clerical support staff are necessary for all library programs at every grade level. Each school should employ at least one full-time technical assistant or clerk for each school librarian. Some programs, facilities, and levels of service will require more than one support staff member for each professional.
4. The school district is served by a district library supervisor who provides leadership and support for the building-level school library programs by providing resources, professional development, and leadership in developing and implementing the district’s school library program. The district library supervisor is a member of the administrative team and helps determine the criteria and policies for the district’s curriculum and instructional programs. The district library supervisor communicates the mission, strategic plan, goals, and needs of the school and district library programs to the superintendent, board of education, other district-level personnel, and the community.

BACKGROUND:
The staffing of school libraries will be guided by the language for effective school library programs in the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA). A certified school librarian is essential to an effective school library program, yet only two-thirds of school libraries are staffed with certified school librarians (Davis 2010).

DEFINITIONS:
- **Effective School Library Program**: Definition of an Effective School Library Position Statement

REFERENCES:


RECOMMENDED READING LIST:


DISCLAIMER:

The position taken by the American Association of School Librarians (AASL) represents the organization and cannot be applied to individual members or groups affiliated with the association without their direct confirmation.

APPROVAL/REVISION DATES: June 25, 2016
Instructional Role of the School Librarian

POSITION:
The American Association of School Librarians (AASL) supports the position that school librarians are instructors as well as collaborators with fellow educators in the pursuit of student learning in school libraries, classrooms, learning commons, makerspaces, labs, and virtual learning spaces. School librarian instruction results in students who read and utilize print and digital resources for curricular and personalized learning needs. School librarians teach students how to be inquiring learners who evaluate and use both print and digital information efficiently, effectively, and ethically, with the goal of developing lifelong learning and literacy skills (AASL 2007). School librarians lead the way in digital learning and literacies by teaching and providing professional development in their school communities and districts.

The role of the school librarian is to guide students and fellow educators through the intersection of formal and informal learning. The instruction the school librarian offers is integral to a well-rounded education. As educators and instructional partners school librarians are critical to teaching and learning in the school community. The school librarian plays a prominent role in instructing students, faculty, and administrators in a range of literacies, including information, digital, print, visual, and textual literacies. As leaders in literacy and technology, school librarians are perfectly positioned to instruct every student in the school community through both traditional and blended learning.

BACKGROUND:
In the ever-changing information and education landscape, the instructional role of school librarians is vitally important for staff and students. As print and digital literacies, inquiry, and reading motivation have become crucial elements of teaching and learning, school librarians as educators and information specialists play a key instructional role in successful schools.

DEFINITIONS:
- **Digital Learning**: Learning materials and resources displayed on a digital device and shared electronically with other users. Digital learning content can be both open and/or commercial content (U.S. Dept. of Education 2016).
- **Digital Literacy**: The ability to use information and communication technologies to find, evaluate, create, and communicate information, requiring both cognitive and technical skills (ALA 2013).
- **Information Literacy**: A set of abilities requiring individuals to recognize when information is needed and have the ability to locate, evaluate, and use effectively the needed information (ACRL 2000).

REFERENCES:


RECOMMENDED READING LIST:


www.ala.org/aasl/advocacy/legislation/essa.


www.ala.org/aasl/sites/ala.org.aasl/files/content/aaslpubsandjournals/slr/vol15/SLR_School_Librarians_as_Technology_Integration_Leaders_V15.pdf.


DISCLAIMER:

The position taken by the American Association of School Librarians (AASL) represents the organization and cannot be applied to individual members or groups affiliated with the association without their direct confirmation.

APPROVAL/REVISION DATES: June 25, 2016
Role of the School Library Program

POSITION:

The American Association of School Librarians (AASL) supports the position that an effective school library program plays a crucial role in preparing students for informed living in an information-rich society. The school library program provides learning opportunities that enable students to become efficient, effective, and creative users of information. Further, the school library program encourages students to examine the authority of authors and the bias of sponsors; to assess the importance of currency of information to the topic at hand; to determine the scope and relevance of information to meet their needs; and to create and share new ideas, resources, products, and information. This instruction occurs best in the context of the school curriculum where students are guided by a standard of excellence set by their classroom teachers in collaboration with the school librarian.

The school library program is not confined by the school library walls, but rather, with the use of technology and online resources, connects to the community and branches throughout the entire school. The school library program provides the mechanism for students to access the resources they need 24/7, whether in the library, in the classroom, or in the student’s home.

Beyond its curricular role, the effective school library program gives each individual member of the learning community a venue for exploring questions that arise out of personalized learning, individual curiosity, and personal interest. As part of the school library program, the school librarian provides leadership and instruction to both students and staff on how to use information technologies constructively, ethically, and safely. The school librarian offers expertise in accessing and evaluating information and collections of quality physical and virtual resources. In addition, the school librarian possesses dispositions that encourage broad and deep exploration of ideas and responsible use of information technologies. These attributes add value to the school community.

School library programs also provide opportunities for learners to read for enjoyment. School librarians’ skills in the selection and evaluation of resources are critical in providing students, staff, and families with open, non-restricted access to a high-quality collection of reading materials that reflect personal interests and academic needs in multiple formats. School librarians take a leadership role in organizing and promoting literacy projects and events that encourage students to become lifelong learners and readers.

The school library program is based on long-range goals developed through strategic planning that reflect the mission of the school. The school librarian participates fully in all aspects of the school’s instructional program, including federally mandated programs and reform efforts. The school library program provides flexible and equitable access to collections, technology, and a state-certified school librarian for all students and staff, physically as well as virtually. The collection includes materials that meet the needs of all learners, represents various points of view on current and historical issues, and offers a wide variety of interest areas. Policies, procedures, and guidelines are developed to maintain the effective school library program. The school library staff and budget are sufficient to support the school’s instructional program and meet the needs of the school library program’s goals.

For students, the school library represents one of America’s most cherished freedoms: the freedom to speak and hear what others have to say. Students have the right to choose what they will read, view, or hear and are expected to develop the ability to think clearly, critically, and creatively about their choices, rather than allowing others to do this for them.
BACKGROUND:
Citizens of this information world must have the skills and dispositions to access information efficiently and to critically assess the sources they rely upon for decision making, problem solving, and generation of new knowledge. The effective school library program plays a critical role in schools in instructing students on how to access information efficiently and critically assess resources.

DEFINITIONS:

- **Effective School Library Program:** [Definition of Effective School Library Position Statement](#)
- **Learning Community:** A group of people (can include students) who share common academic goals and attitudes who meet regularly to share expertise and work collaboratively to improve instruction and the academic performance of students.
- **Information Technologies:** Modern information, computer, and communication technology products, services, or tools, including the Internet, computer devices and other hardware, software applications, data systems, personal electronic devices, and other electronic content (including multimedia content) and data storage.
- **School Librarian Instructional Role:** [Instructional Role of the School Librarian Position Statement](#)
- **Virtual Resources:** Resources that are not physical in nature, such as computer hardware platforms, operating systems, storage devices, computer network resources, electronic databases, and e-books.

RECOMMENDED READING LIST:


DISCLAIMER:
The position taken by the American Association of School Librarians (AASL) represents the organization and cannot be applied to individual members or groups affiliated with the association without their direct confirmation.

APPROVAL/REVISION DATES: June 25, 2016
Preparation of School Librarians

**POSITION:**
The American Association of School Librarians (AASL) supports the position that, in addition to meeting state certification requirements, school librarians hold a master’s degree or equivalent from a program that combines academic and professional preparation in library and information science, education, and technology. The graduate degree is earned at a college or university whose program is recognized by appropriate bodies such as the American Library Association (ALA), the American Association of School Librarians/Council for the Accreditation of Educator Preparation (CAEP), or state education agencies. The academic program of study includes directed field experience coordinated by a college/university faculty member and takes place in an effective school library program under the direct supervision of a certified, full-time school librarian.

**BACKGROUND:**
In order to address the critical need for a reading-rich environment in the ever-changing information landscape, the preparation of school librarians is vitally important. As technology has become a crucial element of teaching and learning, school librarians as educators and information specialists play a key role in the success of schools.

**DEFINITIONS:**
- **Information Technologies:** Modern information, computer, and communication technology products, services, or tools, including the Internet, computer devices, and other hardware, software applications, data systems, and other electronic content (including multimedia content) and data storage.
- **School Librarian Instructional Role:** Instructional Role of the School Librarian Position Statement

**REFERENCES:**


DISCLAIMER:
The position taken by the American Association of School Librarians (AASL) represents the organization and cannot be applied to individual members or groups affiliated with the association without their direct confirmation.

APPROVAL/REVISION DATES: June 25, 2016
July 26, 2016

Meredith Miller
U.S. Department of Education
400 Maryland Avenue, SW, Room 3C106
Washington, DC 20202-2800

Dear Ms. Miller:

On behalf of the American Library Association (ALA) and the American Association of School Librarians (AASL), thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Department’s proposed regulations governing accountability and State plans under the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) as reauthorized by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

The mission of ALA, the oldest and largest library association in the world, is “to provide leadership for the development, promotion and improvement of library and information services and the profession of librarianship in order to enhance learning and ensure access to information for all.” The mission of AASL, the ALA division focused on school libraries, is “to empower leaders to transform teaching and learning.” To help accomplish this mission, AASL supports effective school library programs that have a certified school librarian at the helm, provide personalized learning environments, and offer equitable access to resources to ensure a well-rounded education for every student.1

School libraries are a safe learning environment where all students have equal and equitable access to learning, support, and information for personal and educational purposes. As poverty rates across America remain high, our schools must serve as an “equalizer” to provide all students with equal and equitable access to the resources, support, and instruction necessary to succeed academically and become productive and engaged citizens in a democratic society. Research confirms that effective school library programs are a wise investment for our children’s education and workforce readiness.

ALA has been disheartened by the lack of support for effective school library programs and comprehensive literacy instruction at the Federal, State, and local levels over the years. Data2 available from the National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) highlights the lack of support for too many of our students in thousands of schools across the country. NCES data reveals that approximately 8,830 public schools across the nation do not have a school library and for those schools that do have a library, nearly 17,000 schools do not have a full or part-time school librarian on staff.

Accordingly, ALA and AASL were pleased to support the bipartisan conference agreement on ESSA and we are encouraged that the updated law provides opportunities to recognize the key role effective school library programs play in improving student academic achievement and ensuring that students are adequately prepared for college and success in the workforce.

1 For additional information see AASL definition of “effective school library program.”
2 For additional information from NCES, see http://nces.ed.gov/surveys/sass/tables_list.asp#2012.
To help build on these efforts under ESSA, our comments on the proposed regulations focus on consultation requirements under §299.15 and activities to support all students under §299.19.

§299.15 – Consultation and Coordination

Under ESSA, a State may continue to submit a consolidated State plan in lieu of individual, program-specific plans. As part of the consolidated State plan, the proposed regulations would require the State Educational Agency (SEA) to engage in timely and meaningful consultation with stakeholders as part of the design and development of the plan. Specifically, §299.15(a)(6) of the proposed regulations provides that stakeholders consulted during the development of the State plan must include “teachers, principals, other school leaders, paraprofessionals, specialized instructional support personnel, and organizations representing such individuals.”

Under ESSA, the statutory definition of the term “specialized instructional support personnel” includes qualified professional personnel such as school librarians. To help ensure consistency with the statutory provisions under ESSA, we recommend that language under §299.15(a)(6) be clarified to highlight the statutory ability of school librarians to participate in the consultation process with the SEA in the development and implementation of the State consolidated plan.

We believe it is important to specifically highlight school librarians as part of the consultation process because they make the whole school more effective. School librarians serve as instructional leaders, program administrators, teachers, collaborative partners, and information specialists. They work with every student in the school, teaching them to think critically, providing the resources and support they need in school and beyond, and nurturing their creativity. They are also an essential partner for all classroom teachers, providing print and digital materials that meet diverse needs and collaborating to deepen student learning and drive success. Finally, school librarians are leaders in the school, helping to develop curriculum and connecting other educators to current trends and resources for teaching and learning.

§299.19 – Supporting All Students

As part of the consolidated State plan, each SEA must describe its strategies, its rationale for the selected strategies, timelines, and how it will use funds under the programs included in its consolidated State plan to ensure that all children have a significant opportunity to meet challenging State academic standards and graduate with a regular high school diploma. §299.19(a)(1)(iv) of the proposed regulations requires that such strategies and descriptions include “the effective use of technology to improve academic achievement and digital literacy of all students.”

Literacy skills have always been a critical component of our education systems. Today, the attainment of digital literacy – for both students and educators – can help to ensure that all students graduate from high school prepared for postsecondary education or the workforce without the need for remediation.

School libraries are places of opportunity. Effective school library programs play a crucial role in bridging digital and socioeconomic divides. They foster a safe and nurturing climate during the day and before and after school, to serve as critical learning hubs for instruction and use of technology, digital, and print materials (including curation of openly licensed educational resources) to better prepare students for success for school and the workforce:
• **Avery County High School** – In Avery County High School (Newland, NC), the school librarian trains students and teachers on how to become a responsible consumer of information. The school library provides instruction on how to document resources; the importance of following copyright laws; safe use of online resources, including potential dangers of online sharing; and an awareness of the digital footprint all online users leave.

• **Weddington Hills Elementary School** – At Weddington Hills Elementary School (Concord, NC), digital literacy instruction is provided through the school library program. Students in kindergarten and first grades are learning skills such as keyboard recognition, how to access PowerPoint, how to obtain digital images following copyright requirements, and the importance of citing sources. Students in second and third grades are using various technologies to research, collect information, synthesize, and create new products, including a focus on academic honesty and copyright issues. By fourth and fifth grades, students are exploring digital tools for collaboration, multi-media presentations, analysis of data, and interaction with people and events beyond their own communities.

• **Centerville Elementary School** – From kindergarten up students are exposed to digital learning platforms and computers at Centerville Elementary School (Frederick, MD). From lessons on internet safety to proper citation of web sources to their digital footprints and cyberbullying, the students are receiving weekly lessons to help make them true 21st century learners.

• **Mooresville Intermediate School** – At Mooresville Intermediate School (Mooresville, NC), students receive digital literacy training in the school library related to accessing information sources, taking notes appropriately, citing sources, finding copyright-friendly images for projects, and using digital tools to share their learning in new, innovative ways.

To better encourage the effective use of technology to improve academic achievement and digital literacy in ways that support all students, we recommend that §299.19(a)(1)(iv) be clarified to highlight the critical support school libraries provide with regard to improving the digital literacy of all students.

An effective school library program plays a crucial role in bridging digital and socioeconomic divides and focuses on accessing and evaluating information, providing digital learning training and experiences, and developing a culture of reading. The school library program uses a variety of engaging and relevant resources. Robust school libraries have high-quality, openly licensed digital and print resources, technology tools, and broadband access. This environment is essential to providing equitable learning opportunities for all students.

By providing access to an array of well-managed resources, school libraries enable academic knowledge to be linked to deeper, personalized learning. The expanded learning environment of the school library ensures the unique interests and needs of individual students are met. In this way, effective school library programs prepare students for college, career, and community.

Therefore, as the Department considers recommendations on the proposed regulations governing accountability and State plans under ESSA, we respectfully ask that the final regulations specifically include school librarians and school libraries under §299.15.
(Consultation and Coordination) and §299.19 (Supporting All Students) to ensure that implementation of ESSA includes adequate consultation and an appropriate focus on the role of school libraries in using technology to improve academic achievement and digital literacy of all students.

Thank you again for the opportunity to provide recommendations; please do not hesitate to contact Emily Sheketoff from ALA at (202) 628-8410 or Sylvia Knight Norton from AASL at (312) 280-4388 if you have any questions or if there is anything we can do to assist with implementation of ESSA.

Sincerely,

Emily Sheketoff
Executive Director
Washington Office
American Library Association

Sylvia K. Norton
Executive Director
American Association of School Librarians
American Library Association
P.L. 114-95, The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA)

Student Support and Academic Enrichment Grants (ESSA Block Grant)

SUMMARY OF PROGRAM

Overview – ESSA authorizes a new flexible block grant program – Student Support and Academic Enrichment Grants under Title IV, Part A, Subpart 1 – to increase the capacity of States, LEAs, schools, and local communities to:

1. Provide all students with access to a well-rounded education;
2. Support safe and healthy students; and
3. Support the effective use of technology.

Authorization Level and Funding – ESSA authorizes the program at $1.65 billion for FY2017 through FY2020.

• The Administration’s FY2017 budget request included $500 million for Student Support and Academic Enrichment Grants.

• Prior to the August 2016 recess, the Senate Appropriations Committee provided $300 million and the House Appropriations Committee provided $1 billion in their respective bills for Student Support and Academic Enrichment Grants.

• The funding level will be finalized as part of negotiations to fund the Federal government for FY2017.

Allocation of Funds by Formula – Each State, and subsequently each LEA, receives an allocation based on their State or local share of the ESSA Title I funding formula.

• States reserve 5 percent of their allocation for State-level activities and 95 percent of funds are provided for local awards to LEAs.

• Each LEA that receives a formula allocation must conduct a “needs assessment” and then must use:
  o Not less than 20 percent of funds to support well-rounded educational opportunities;
  o Not less than 20 percent of funds to support safe and healthy students; and
  o A portion of funds (not defined under ESSA) to support the effective use of technology.

• Remaining funds at the local level can be used by the LEA to support any of the three aforementioned categories of activities.

State-Level Activities – States are authorized to use their share of funding (5 percent) for State-level activities and programming designed to meet the purposes of the program, including:
• Monitoring, training, technical assistance and capacity building for LEAs;

• Identifying and eliminating State barriers to the coordination and integration of programs, initiatives, and funding streams so that LEAs can better coordinate with other agencies, schools, and community-based services and programs; and

• Supporting LEAs in providing programs and activities that:
  o Offer well-rounded educational experiences to all students, which may include:
    ✓ STEM courses;
    ✓ Music and arts education;
    ✓ Foreign languages;
    ✓ Accelerated learning programs that provide postsecondary level courses accepted for credit at institutions of higher education (such as Advanced Placement courses);
    ✓ American history, civics, economics, geography, social studies, or government education;
    ✓ Environmental education; and
    ✓ Other courses, activities, programs or experiences that contribute to a well-rounded education.
  o Foster safe, healthy, supportive, and drug-free environments, which may include:
    ✓ Reducing exclusionary discipline practices in schools;
    ✓ Mental health awareness training and school-based counseling;
    ✓ Integrating health and safety practices into school and athletic programs; and
    ✓ Disseminating best practices and evaluating program outcomes to promote student safety and violence prevention.
  o Increase access to personalized, rigorous learning experiences supported by technology, including:
    ✓ Providing technical assistance to LEAs to identify and address technology readiness needs, which specifically includes “access to school libraries”;
    ✓ Supporting schools in rural and remote areas to expand access to digital learning opportunities;
    ✓ Supporting the delivery specialized or rigorous academic courses and curricula through the use of technology, including digital learning technologies and assistive technology.
    ✓ Disseminating promising practices related to technology instruction, data security and the acquisition and implementation of technology tools and applications;
    ✓ Providing teachers, paraprofessionals, school librarians and media personnel, specialized instructional support personnel, and administrators with the knowledge and skills to use technology effectively; and

1 Under ESSA, the term “digital learning” is defined as any instructional practice that effectively uses technology to strengthen a student's learning experience and encompasses a wide spectrum of tools and practices, including: (1) interactive learning resources, digital learning content (which may include openly licensed content), software, or simulations, that engage students in academic content; (2) access to online databases and other primary source documents; (3) the use of data and information to personalize learning and provide targeted supplementary instruction; (4) online and computer-based assessments; (5) learning environments that allow for rich collaboration and communication, which may include student collaboration with content experts and peers; (6) hybrid or blended learning, which occurs under direct instructor supervision at a school or other location away from home and, at least in part, through online delivery of instruction with some element of student control over time, place, path, or pace; and (7) access to online course opportunities for students in rural or remote areas.
✓ Making instructional content widely available through open educational resources, which may include providing tools and processes to support LEAs in making such resources widely available.

**Local Consultation** – In developing the local application, an LEA is required to consult with parents, teachers, principals, specialized instructional support personnel (which specifically includes school librarians under ESSA) and other stakeholders with relevant and demonstrated expertise in programs and activities designed to meet the purpose of the program.

- In addition, LEAs are required to engage in “continued consultation” with such stakeholders to improve local activities and to coordinate programming with other related strategies, programs, and activities being conducted in the community.

**Local Needs Assessment** – Prior to receiving funds under the program, LEAs must conduct a “comprehensive needs assessment” (that must be conducted every 3 years) to examine needs for improvement of:

- Access to, and opportunities for, a well-rounded education for all students;
- School conditions for student learning in order to create a healthy and safe school environment; and
- Access to personalized learning experiences supported by technology and professional development for the effective use of data and technology.

  - Note: Under State Activities, States are authorized to support local efforts to increase access to personalized learning experiences by providing technical assistance to LEAs to identify and address technology readiness needs, which specifically includes “access to school libraries” under the statute.

- LEAs that would receive an allocation of less than $30,000 are not required to conduct a comprehensive needs assessment.

**Local Uses of Funds** – As part of the local application, LEAs must provide assurances that funds will prioritized to schools that have the greatest need, the most low-income children, are identified under the accountability system or identified as persistently dangerous. Similar to activities authorized at the State-level, LEAs are authorized to use their share of funds (95 percent) to:

- Develop and implement programs and activities that support access to a well-rounded education and that:
  - Are coordinated with other schools and community-based services and programs;
  - May be conducted through partnerships; and
  - May include programs and activities, such as:
    ✓ College and career guidance and counseling;
    ✓ Activities that use music and the arts as tools to support student success;
    ✓ Activities to improve instruction and student engagement in STEM (including computer science);
    ✓ Efforts to raise student academic achievement through accelerated learning programs
✓ Activities to promote traditional American history, civics, economics, geography, or government education;
✓ Foreign language instruction;
✓ Environmental education;
✓ Activities that promote volunteerism and community involvement;
✓ Activities that support educational programs that integrate multiple disciplines, such as programs that combine arts and mathematics; or
✓ Other activities and programs to support student access to, and success in, a variety of well-rounded education experiences.

• Support safe and healthy students through the development, implementation and evaluation of programs and activities that:
  
  o Are coordinated with other schools and community-based services and programs;
  
  o Foster safe, healthy, supportive, and drug-free environments that support student academic achievement;
  
  o Promote the involvement of parents;
  
  o May be conducted through partnerships; and
  
  o May include programs and activities, such as:
    ✓ Drug and violence prevention activities;
    ✓ School-based mental health services;
    ✓ Health and safety practices for schools and athletic programs;
    ✓ Support for healthy, active lifestyles, including nutritional education and regular, structured physical education activities;
    ✓ Prevention of bullying and harassment;
    ✓ Mentoring and counseling for all students;
    ✓ Dropout recovery programs;
    ✓ Training for school personnel related to suicide prevention, crisis management, conflict resolution and school-based violence prevention strategies;
    ✓ Child sexual abuse awareness;
    ✓ Reducing exclusionary discipline practices; and
    ✓ Pay for success initiatives.

• Use technology to improve the academic achievement, academic growth, and digital literacy of all students – including by addressing shortfalls identified in the local needs assessment conducted prior to receiving funds – which may include:
  
  o Providing educators, school leaders, and administrators with the professional learning tools, devices, content, and resources to personalize learning and to administer computer-based assessments and blended learning strategies;

---

2 Under ESSA, the term “blended learning” is defined as a formal education program that leverages both technology-based and face-to-face instructional approaches that include an element of online or digital learning, combined with supervised learning time, and student-led learning, in which the elements are connected to provide an integrated learning experience; and in which students are provided some control over time, path, or pace.
o Building technological capacity and infrastructure, including procuring content and purchasing devices, equipment, and software applications in order to address readiness shortfalls (as identified under the comprehensive needs assessment);

✓ Note: There is a 15 percent cap under ESSA related to the purchase of technology infrastructure under the program.

o Developing or using effective strategies for the delivery of specialized or rigorous academic courses through the use of technology, including digital learning technologies and assistive technology;

o Blended learning projects;

o Professional development in the use of technology (which may be provided through partnerships with outside organizations) to enable teachers and instructional leaders to increase student achievement in STEM (including computer science); and

o Opportunities for students in rural, remote, and underserved areas to take advantage of high-quality digital learning experiences, digital resources, and access to online courses taught by effective educators.

SUMMARY OF LIBRARY PROVISIONS

- ESSA authorizes (but does not require) States to use their share of funds to assist LEAs in providing programs and activities that increase access to personalized, rigorous learning experiences supported by technology, including adequate “access to school libraries.”

- ESSA authorizes (but does not require) States to use their share of funds to assist LEAs in providing school librarians and other school personnel with the knowledge and skills to use technology effectively, including effective integration of technology, to improve instruction and student achievement.

- In developing their local applications, LEAs must consult with teachers, principals and other stakeholders, including “specialized instructional support personnel” which is defined under ESSA as specifically including school librarians.

- ESSA requires that LEAs conduct a “needs assessment” prior to receiving funds from the State (that must be conducted every 3 years). The needs assessment must include access to personalized learning experiences (which may include access to school libraries).

NEXT STEPS – ADVOCATE FOR INCLUSION OF LIBRARY PROGRAMMING

State-Level Advocacy

- Because States are authorized (but not required) to support LEAs in providing programs and activities that increase access to personalized learning experiences (which may include and professional development for school librarians and better access to school libraries for students), it will be important to contact and work with education officials at the State-level (State Educational Agency) to make them aware of their ability to use funds in support of personalized learning experiences, including ways in which effective school library programs can contribute to personalized learning activities in schools.
• Since States are authorized (but not required) to support LEAs in the delivery of specialized or rigorous academic courses and curricula through the use of technology, including digital learning technologies, it will be important to contact and work with State education officials to ensure that such activities include effective school library programs that provide digital learning technologies.

Local Advocacy

• Since LEAs are required to consult with stakeholders – which may include school librarians – on the development of their local activities, it is critical to contact and work with leaders, administrators and technology educators at the school and LEA level to ensure that school librarians can adequately participate in the development and implementation of programming.

• Since LEAs must conduct a “comprehensive needs assessment” that includes access to personalized learning experiences supported by technology and professional development for the effective use of data and technology, it is essential to contact and work with leaders, administrators and technology educators at the school and LEA level to ensure that “access to school libraries” is considered as part of the local needs assessment.

• Since LEAs are authorized (but not required) to support the delivery of specialized or rigorous academic courses and curricula through the use of technology, including digital learning technologies, it will be important to contact and work with leaders, administrators and technology educators at the school and LEA level to ensure that such activities include effective school library programs that provide digital learning technologies.